

Software Engineering Research / Developer Collaborations

Tom Pressburger, Research Infusion Lead (ARC)
Ben Di Vito (LaRC), Martin Feather (JPL),
Michael Hinchey (GSFC), Lawrence Markosian (QSS Group,
ARC), Tim Menzies (Portland State Univ., IV&V), Luis Trevino
(MSFC)





Outline

- Problem
- Hypothesis
- Approach
- Importance/Benefits
- Relevance to NASA
- Accomplishments
- Next Steps





Problem

- State-of-the-art software engineering research required to meet NASA demands
- Tech transfer into NASA of Software Engineering Research difficulties:
 - □ Technology providers can't find customers
 - □ Software developers unaware of new technologies
 - Ignorance
 - Too many to evaluate
 - Developers can't afford up front costs and risks





Hypothesis

- Relatively small awards can overcome cost and risk of technology insertion
- Target innovators and early adopters
- Target technologies perceived as having low integration cost





Approach

- Select several software engineering research products
 - Emphasis on those funded by NASA
 - Usefulness already demonstrated
 - Easy insertion
- Present them across NASA in hour-long Video Teleconference Presentation (ViTS)
 - Publicize using center-specific mechanisms and the Software Engineering Process Groups at each center.
- Solicit proposals from customers
- Fund pilot projects deploying the research
 - actual use, not a shadow project
 - competitively-selected







Importance/Benefits

- Researchers now have testbeds, obtain feedback on
 - Efficacy
 - Deployment concerns
- Developers deploy new, valuable technology
 - Goal: incorporation of valuable technology into their practice
 - Goal: mechanisms for further migration identified





Relevance to NASA

- Has historically been difficult for NASA-sponsored research to penetrate into actual NASA use.
- This is a new mechanism.







Accomplishments

_	
	For ViTS of 09/23/2003, 7 software engineering technologies were selected
	5 NASA-funded research technologies
	□ 2 commercial products
	13 customer-initiated, high-quality proposals were submitted
	6 proposals were funded and initiated
	☐ C Static analyzers applied to Station/Shuttle code (ARC,MSFC)
	 Perspective-Based Reading applied to flight project/Station code (GSFC, USA)
	Penetration 9!
	 Orthogonal-Defect Classification applied to DSN antennae controller (JPL)
	 Code browsing tool applied to guidance code (JSC)
	Funding
	□ SARP!
	 Substantial additional cofunding; indicates researchers/projects are committed

One completed, rest ongoing—results at this time to be presented.





Accomplishments (contd)

- ViTS on 05/18/2004 showcasing 6 new technologies
 - □ Technologies tuned to reflect feedback from software developers who attended the first ViTS.
- 4 proposals submitted





Next Steps

- Reports on lessons learned deploying the technologies.
- On the lookout for
 - new research products to infuse.
 - new forums to reach developers to find...
 - new customers.
- 2005 time line
 - Mid-February Technologies selected: RESEARCHERS!
 - ☐ Mid-March ViTS: CUSTOMERS!
 - ☐ Mid-June Collaboration proposals due: CUSTOMERS!
- Info:
 - □ http://ic.arc.nasa.gov/researchinfusion
 - □ tom.pressburger@nasa.gov